

Diastereoselectivity in the Preparation of 4-Phenylthio-4-butanolide Derivatives by the Use of the Pummerer Rearrangement

Huiwen SU, Yuichi HIWATARI, Masanobu SOENOSAWA, Kenji SASUGA, Kozo SHIRAI, and Takanobu KUMAMOTO*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tokai University, 1117, Kitakaname, Hiratsuka, Kanagawa 259-12

(Received November 24, 1992)

Diastereoselectivity in the preparation of 4-phenylthio-4-butanolide derivatives by the Pummerer rearrangement of 4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic acid derivatives was clarified. The reaction of 3-alkyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic acid with acetic anhydride resulted in the predominant formation of *trans*-3-alkyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide. Lactonization of 2,3-dialkyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic acid gave (*2RS,3RS,4SR*)-2,3-dialkyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide predominantly.

The lactone ring system is a common structure in a wide variety of natural products, and a number of methods¹⁾ are available for its construction. For example, Marino et al.²⁾ reported that the enantioselective preparation of 4-arylthio-4-butanolides from the chiral 1-alkenyl aryl sulfoxides and dichloroketene. Previously, we also reported³⁾ the synthetic route of the 4-phenylthio-4-butanolides by the Pummerer rearrangement.

We report herein the *trans* diastereoselectivity in the preparation of 3-alkyl or 2,3-dialkyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolides by the Pummerer rearrangement of 4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic acids.

Results and Discussion

Preparation of 4-Phenylsulfinylbutanoic Acids.

3-Alkyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic acids (**1**) were prepared by two methods shown in Scheme 1. Method A contains the Michael addition of phenylthiomethyl-lithium to diethyl alkylidenemalonates (**2**) (Scheme 1). Phenylthiomethyl-lithium, which was prepared from phenylthiomethane and butyllithium in the presence of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Dabco), reacted with **2** at -78°C in THF to give diethyl[1-(phenylthiomethyl)]alkylmalonates (**3**) in about 60–80% yield. The purification of **3** was very difficult due to the concomitant by-products. Further, an attempt to purify of 3-alkyl-4-phenylthiobutanoic acids (**4**), which were produced by the hydrolysis and decarboxylation of **3**, also did not give good results. Therefore, method B for the preparation of **1** was examined (Scheme 1).

The addition reaction of a mixture of geometric isomers of 1-phenylsulfinyl-1-alkenes (**5**) with the lithium enolate of ethyl acetate resulted in the formation of ethyl 3-alkyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoates (**6a–d**) in good yields. Hydrolysis of **6** with 10% sodium hydroxide solution gave the desired 3-alkyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic acids (**1a–d**) in good yields (see Table 1). Although compounds **1a–d** were a mixture of diastereomeric isomers, these mixtures were used in the next cyclization reactions.

Ethyl 2,3-dialkyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoates (**6a–h**) were prepared by the addition reactions of the lithium enolate of ethyl propanoate or ethyl butanoate to geometrically pure (*E*)-**5** or (*Z*)-**5**. The reactions were car-


Table 1. Preparation of Ethyl 4-Phenylsulfinylbutanoates (**6**) and 4-Phenylsulfinylbutanoic Acids (**1**)

Geometry of the starting alkene 5	Compounds	6 (%)	1 (%)
Mixture	a	56	77
Mixture	b	46	92
Mixture	c	47	60
Mixture	d	48	78
<i>E</i>	e	48	65
<i>E</i>	f	58	87
<i>E</i>	g	56	64
<i>E</i>	h	53	81
<i>Z</i>	e	64	80
<i>Z</i>	f	69	61
<i>Z</i>	g	53	78
<i>Z</i>	h	44	87


ried out by a similar procedure with the preparation of **6a–d** and the results are summarized in Table 1. Hydrolysis of **6e–h** with 10% sodium hydroxide solution gave the corresponding 2,3-dialkyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic acids (**1e–h**) in good yields (See Table 1). The compounds **1e–h** and **6e–h** were shown to be the mixture of four diastereomeric isomers from the study on the relationship between the C-2 and C-3 alkyl groups of **6e–h**, but the ratio of the four isomers would not been determined. The study on the relationship between C-2 and C-3 alkyl groups will be described later in this paper.

Synthesis and Diastereoselectivity of 4-Phenylthio-4-butanolides. When 3-methyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic acid (**1a**) was allowed to react with acetic anhydride in the presence of a catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in refluxing toluene, 3-methyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide (**8a**) was obtained as a mixture of diastereomeric isomers in 43% yield (Scheme 2). The ratio of the diastereomeric isomers was 87:13 as determined high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). In a similar procedure, cyclization of **1b–d** by the Pummerer rearrangement gave the diastereomeric mixture of **8b–d** in good yields. The yields and results of HPLC analyses are summarized in the Table 2.

The diastereomeric structures of the two isomers were



Scheme 1.



Scheme 2.

determined by the ¹H NMR study of ASIS effect. S. Warren et al.⁴⁾ reported that the protons at the opposite side of large groups in *cis*-4-methyl-3-phenylthio-4-butanolides (**10**) were more highly solvated than those at the same side and showed large chemical shift differences ($\Delta\delta$) upon changing the solvent from chloroform-*d* to benzene-*d*₆. On the other hand, the protons of *trans*-**10** showed almost constant $\Delta\delta$ values. The value $\Delta\delta$ of the major isomer of **8a** was almost constant as shown in Table 3. Meanwhile, the C-3 proton and one of the C-2 protons of the minor isomer of **8a** showed large $\Delta\delta$ value (See Table 3). From these results, the major and minor isomers of **8a** were assigned as *trans* and *cis*-isomers, respectively.

To detect the stability of **8** under these cyclization conditions, the isolated *trans* or *cis* product of **8a** was refluxed in toluene with acetic anhydride and a catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid. The HPLC analysis of the reaction mixtures showed that both of


the isomers of **8a** gave the same results containing the formation of *trans* and *cis* isomers of **8a** in the ratio of 93:7 (Scheme 3). These facts indicated that the thermodynamically more stable isomer was formed predominantly after formation of the butanolide structure by the equilibrium between the two isomers under the acidic reaction conditions.

The ¹H NMR studies of **8a**—**d** revealed significant features on the chemical shift of the C-4 proton (See Table 4). As shown in Table 4, the chemical shift of the C-4 proton in *trans*-**8a** appeared at an upper field than that of *cis*-**8a**. The ¹H NMR studies of **8b**—**d** also showed a similar pattern, that is, the C-4 proton of the major isomer appeared at an upper field than that of the minor isomer. From these results, it was concluded that the major products of **8b**—**d** are *trans*-isomers and the minor products are *cis*-isomers.

Next, the cyclizations of **1e**—**h** were performed by the similar procedure with the preparation of **8a** to give


Table 2. Preparation of 4-Phenylthio-4-butanolides (**8**)

	Starting											
	R ¹	R ²	alkene 5	8 (%)	Major : Minor	8-I	:	8-II	:	8-III	:	8-IV
					(trans) (cis)	(2 <i>RS</i> ,3 <i>RS</i> ,4 <i>SR</i>)		(2 <i>RS</i> ,3 <i>SR</i> ,4 <i>RS</i>)		(2 <i>RS</i> ,3 <i>SR</i> ,4 <i>SR</i>)		(2 <i>RS</i> ,3 <i>RS</i> ,4 <i>RS</i>)
a	Me	H	Mixture	43	87 : 13							
b	Et	H	Mixture	64	87 : 13							
c	<i>i</i> -Pr	H	Mixture	68	98 : 2							
d	Ph	H	Mixture	34	100 : 0							
e	Me	Me	<i>E</i>	64		61	:	32	:	7	:	0
f	Me	Et	<i>E</i>	63		47	:	22	:	21	:	10
g	Et	Me	<i>E</i>	57		70	:	20	:	10	:	0
h	Et	Et	<i>E</i>	60		70	:	20	:	10	:	0
e	Me	Me	<i>Z</i>	58		53	:	36	:	11	:	0
f	Me	Et	<i>Z</i>	62		46	:	17	:	26	:	11
g	Et	Me	<i>Z</i>	51		54	:	44	:	2	:	0
h	Et	Et	<i>Z</i>	63		66	:	26	:	8	:	0

Table 3. Solvent Shift Values ($\Delta\delta$) for the Lactones (**8** and **10**)

Compound	R ¹	R ²	R ³	R ⁴	R ⁵	R ⁶	$\Delta\delta(=\delta_{\text{CDCl}_3}-\delta_{\text{C}_6\text{D}_6})$					
							R ¹	R ²	R ³	R ⁴	R ⁵	R ⁶
8a (<i>trans</i>)	H	H	H	CH ₃	H	PhS	0.90	0.81	0.80	0.69	0.85	—
8a (<i>cis</i>)	H	H	CH ₃	H	H	PhS	0.92	0.65	0.66	1.15	0.75	—
8e	H	CH ₃	H	CH ₃	H	PhS	0.58	0.51	0.71	0.73	0.46	—
8e	CH ₃	H	H	CH ₃	H	PhS	0.68	0.80	0.50	0.89	0.67	—
8e	H	CH ₃	CH ₃	H	H	PhS	0.99	0.43	0.50	0.89	0.67	—
10 (<i>trans</i>) ^{a)}	H	H	PhS	H	CH ₃	H	0.44	0.31	—	0.48	0.40	0.37
10 (<i>cis</i>) ^{a)}	H	H	PhS	H	H	CH ₃	0.61	0.32	—	0.73	0.68	0.36


a) Ref. 4.



Scheme 3.

the diastereomeric mixtures of 2,3-dialkyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolides (**8e—h**) in good yields (Scheme 4). The HPLC analyses of these products showed three peaks (the three peaks are indicated as follows: first peak; **8-I**, second peak; **8-II**, third peak; **8-III**), except in the case of **8f** whose HPLC analysis showed four peaks. The ratios of these peaks revealed that the cyclization reac-


tions of **1e—h** which were prepared from (*E*)-**5** gave higher diastereoselectivity than that of **1e—h** which were from (*Z*)-**5**. For example, the cyclization reaction of **1g** which was prepared from (*E*)-**5** gave three isomers, **8g-I**, **8g-II**, and **8g-III**, in the ratio of 70:20:10. On the other hand, the cyclization reaction of **1g** which was prepared from (*Z*)-**5** gave **8g-I**, **8g-II**, and **8g-III** in the



Scheme 5.

Table 5. Chemical Shifts and Coupling Constants of the C-4 Protons of **8e–h**

R^1	R^2	$(2\text{RS}, 3\text{RS}, 4\text{SR})\text{-8}$		$(2\text{RS}, 3\text{SR}, 4\text{RS})\text{-8}$		$(2\text{RS}, 3\text{SR}, 4\text{SR})\text{-8}$		$(2\text{RS}, 3\text{RS}, 4\text{RS})\text{-8}$	
		δ	J/Hz	δ	J/Hz	δ	J/Hz	δ	J/Hz
8e	Me	Me	5.39	4.2	5.21	9.6	5.71	5.4	—
8f	Me	Et	5.39	2.7	5.17	8.7	5.65	5.1	5.80
8g	Et	Me	5.47	4.5	5.27	8.1	5.80	8.1	—
8h	Et	Et	5.52	3.6	5.33	7.5	5.81	6.9	—



Scheme 6.

Table 6. Isomer Ratios of Ethyl 4-Phenylsulfonylbutanoates **11** and **8**

Starting alkene	Compound	Isomer ratio in 11		Isomer ratio in 8	
		$(2\text{RS}, 3\text{RS})$	$: (2\text{RS}, 3\text{SR})$	$2,3\text{-trans}$	$: 2,3\text{-cis}$
$(E)\text{-5}$	e	90	: 10	61	: 39
$(E)\text{-5}$	g	90	: 10	70	: 30
$(E)\text{-5}$	h	92	: 8	70	: 30
$(Z)\text{-5}$	e	61	: 39	53	: 47
$(Z)\text{-5}$	g	73	: 27	54	: 46
$(Z)\text{-5}$	h	68	: 32	66	: 34

amide (LDA) in 20 cm³ of THF, prepared from diisopropylamine (1.98 g, 18.9 mmol) and butyllithium (18.9 mmol), a solution of ethyl acetate (1.66 g, 18.9 mmol) in 10 cm³ of THF was added at -78°C under nitrogen with stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, then 1-phenylsulfinyl-1-propene (**5a**) (3.14 g, 18.9 mmol) in 10 cm³ of THF was added to the mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78°C for 3 h and was then allowed to warm to 0°C . Then, the reaction mixture was quenched with 10% hydrochloric acid. The whole was extracted with chloroform and the chloroform layer was washed with saturated sodium chloride solution. The chloroform layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the residue, which was purified with silica-gel column chromatography. From the eluate with benzene-ether (1:1), **6a** was obtained as an oil, 2.69 g (56%). IR (NaCl) 1730 (C=O), 1210, 1040 cm⁻¹ (–SO–); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ =0.92 (3H, d, J =7.0 Hz), 1.24 (3H, t, J =8.0 Hz), 1.56–3.00 (5H, m), 4.12 (2H, q, J =8.0 Hz), 7.36–7.93 (5H, m); MS m/z 209 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C,


61.38; H, 7.15%. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₈O₃S: C, 61.41; H, 7.09%.

Similarly, ethyl 3-(phenylsulfinylmethyl)pentanoate (**6b**), ethyl 4-methyl-3-(phenylsulfinylmethyl)pentanoate (**6c**), and ethyl 3-phenyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoate (**6d**) were prepared from the corresponding 1-phenylsulfinyl-1-alkene and ethyl acetate. Yields, spectral and analytical data of **6b**, **6c**, and **6d** are as follows:

6b: Yield 46%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1740 (C=O), 1210 cm⁻¹ (–SO–); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ =0.92 (3H, t, J =7.0 Hz), 1.22 (3H, t, J =7.0 Hz), 1.32–1.65 (3H, m), 2.30–2.90 (4H, m), 4.12 (2H, q, J =7.0 Hz), 7.27–7.71 (5H, m); MS m/z 223 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C, 62.86; H, 7.71%. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₀O₃S: C, 62.69; H, 7.46%.

6c: Yield 47%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1740 (C=O), 1090, 1040 cm⁻¹ (–SO–); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ =0.82 (6H, d, J =7.0 Hz), 1.16 (3H, t, J =7.0 Hz), 1.63–2.11 (1H, m), 2.14–2.90 (5H, m), 4.11 (2H, q, J =7.0 Hz), 7.26–8.05 (5H, m); MS m/z 237 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C, 63.98; H, 8.06%. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₃S: C, 63.80; H, 7.80%.

6d: Yield 48%, mp $85.4\text{--}90.7^\circ\text{C}$ (from petroleum



Scheme 7.

ether-benzene). IR (KBr) 1740 ($\text{C}=\text{O}$), 1040 cm^{-1} ($-\text{SO}-$); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=1.05$ (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 2.69–3.71 (5H, m), 3.95 (2H, q, $J=7.0$ Hz), 6.96–7.87 (10H, m); MS m/z 271 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C, 68.33; H, 6.37%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_3\text{S}$: C, 68.22; H, 6.56%.

Preparation of ethyl 2,3-dimethyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoate (6e), ethyl 2-ethyl-3-methyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoate (6f), ethyl 2-methyl-3-(phenylsulfinylmethyl)pentanoate (6g), and ethyl 2-ethyl-3-(phenylsulfinylmethyl)pentanoate (6h) were prepared by the similar procedure to the preparation of 6a from the geometrically pure 1-phenylsulfinyl-1-propene or 1-phenylsulfinyl-1-butene with ethyl propanoate or ethyl butanoate. The yields, spectral and analytical data of 6e, 6f, 6g, and 6h, which were prepared from the (E)-alkene are as follows:

6e: Yield 48%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1730 cm^{-1} ($\text{C}=\text{O}$); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=1.13$ (3H, d, $J=6.6$ Hz), 1.20 (3H, d, $J=6.3$ Hz), 1.22 (3H, t, $J=6.9$ Hz), 2.38–2.58 (3H, m), 2.94 (1H, dd, $J=2.7, 12.9$ Hz), 4.10 (2H, q, $J=6.9$ Hz), 7.45–7.66 (5H, m); MS m/z 223 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C, 62.64; H, 7.68%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_3\text{S}$: C, 62.66; H, 7.51%.

6f: Yield 58%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1745 cm^{-1} ($\text{C}=\text{O}$); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.85$ (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.18 (3H, d, $J=6.0$ Hz), 1.19 (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 2.05–3.07 (6H, m), 4.08 (2H, q, $J=7.0$ Hz), 7.40–7.98 (5H, m); MS m/z 237 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C, 63.83; H, 8.15%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_3\text{S}$: C, 63.80; H, 7.85%.

6g: Yield 56%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1730 ($\text{C}=\text{O}$); $1180, 1030 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($-\text{O}-$); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.91$ (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 0.96 (3H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz), 1.22 (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.40–1.80 (2H, m), 2.09–2.44 (1H, m), 2.63 (2H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz), 2.79 (1H, dq, $J=4.0$ Hz), 4.10 (2H, q, $J=7.0$ Hz), 7.31–7.73 (5H, m); MS m/z 237 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C, 63.60; H, 7.88%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_3\text{S}$: C, 63.83; H, 7.80%.

6h: Yield 53%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1740 ($\text{C}=\text{O}$), $1170, 1030 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($-\text{O}-$); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.84$ (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 0.94 (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.22 (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.36–1.78 (4H, m), 2.04–2.68 (2H, m), 2.42 (1H, dd, $J=9.0, 13.0$

Hz), 3.00 (1H, dd, $J=5.0, 13.0$ Hz), 4.11 (2H, q, $J=7.0$ Hz), 7.44–7.73 (5H, m); MS m/z 251 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C, 64.67; H, 8.45%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_3\text{S}$: C, 64.86; H, 8.11%.

The yields, spectral and analytical data 6e, 6f, 6g, and 6h, which were prepared from the (Z)-alkene are as follows:

6e: Yield 64%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1735 cm^{-1} ($\text{C}=\text{O}$); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=1.10$ (3H, d, $J=6.9$ Hz), 1.12 (3H, d, $J=7.2$ Hz), 1.22 (3H, t, $J=6.9$ Hz), 2.25–2.44 (2H, m), 2.71–2.80 (1H, m), 2.94 (1H, dd, $J=7.2, 13.2$ Hz), 4.10 (2H, q, $J=6.9$ Hz), 7.45–7.66 (5H, m); MS m/z 223 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$).

6f: Yield 69%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1735 cm^{-1} ($\text{C}=\text{O}$); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.89$ (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.05 (3H, d, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.22 (3H, t, $J=8.0$ Hz), 2.11–2.65 (4H, m), 2.82 (2H, qu), 4.12 (2H, q, $J=8.0$ Hz), 7.37–7.78 (5H, m); MS m/z 266 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{O}$).

6g: Yield 53%, oil. IR (NaCl): 1730 ($\text{C}=\text{O}$), $1180, 1030 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($-\text{SO}-$); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.89$ (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.18 (3H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz), 1.20 (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.33–1.58 (2H, m), 1.96–2.36 (1H, m), 2.80 (2H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz), 2.56–3.04 (1H, m), 4.07 (2H, q, $J=7.0$ Hz), 7.42–7.73 (5H, m); MS m/z 237 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C, 63.59; H, 8.08%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_3\text{S}$: C, 63.83; H, 7.80%.

6h: Yield 44%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1740 ($\text{C}=\text{O}$), $1190, 1040 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($-\text{SO}-$); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.89$ (6H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.22 (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.33–1.78 (4H, m), 2.00–2.22 (1H, m), 2.66 (1H, dd, $J=5.0, 8.0$ Hz), 2.68–2.84 (1H, m), 2.87 (1H, dd, $J=5.0, 8.0$ Hz), 4.09 (2H, q, $J=7.0$ Hz), 7.44–7.67 (5H, m); MS m/z 251 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$). Found: C, 64.70; H, 8.30%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_3\text{S}$: C, 64.86; H, 8.11%.

Preparation of 3-Methyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic Acid (1a). To a solution of 6a (3.81 g, 15.0 mmol) in 30 cm^3 ethanol, 30 cm^3 of 10% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution was added with stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, then the mixture was evaporated under the reduced pressure to give the residue. The residue was acidified with 10% hydrochloric acid and was extracted with chloroform. The chloroform layer was washed once with saturated sodium chloride solution and

was dried over magnesium sulfate. After removal of the solvent, the residue was chromatographed on silica gel. From the eluate with benzene-acetone (10:1), **1a** was obtained as an oil, 2.61 g (77%). The **1a** was used for the next reaction without further purification. IR (NaCl) 3500–2500 (CO₂H), 1740 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=1.08, 1.15 (3H, d, *J*=7.0 Hz), 2.07–3.38 (5H, m), 7.18–8.22 (5H, m), 9.5 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 210 (M⁺–O).

Similarly, another butanoic acid derivatives (**1b–h**) were obtained by the hydrolysis of corresponding **6**. The yields and spectral data are as follows:

3- (Phenylsulfinylmethyl)pentanoic Acid (1b): Yield 92%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3500–2500 (CO₂H), 1740 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.92 (3H, t, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.40–1.73 (2H, m), 2.33–3.18 (5H, m), 7.40–7.71 (5H, m), 10.5 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 224 (M⁺–O).

4-Methyl-3-(phenylsulfinylmethyl)pentanoic Acid (1c): Yield 60%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3500–2500 (CO₂H), 1740 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.88 (6H, d, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.56–3.31 (6H, m), 7.38–7.98 (5H, m), 9.5 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 238 (M⁺–O).

3- Phenyl- 4- phenylsulfinylbutanoic Acid (1d): Yield 78%, mp 83.8–87.9 °C (from petroleum ether). IR (KBr) 3500–2500 (CO₂H), 1740 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=2.52–3.77 (5H, m), 7.13–7.76 (10H, m); MS *m/z* 162 (M⁺–PhSO).

2,3-Dimethyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic Acid (1e) (starting alkene (E)-5): Yield 65%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3500–2500 (CO₂H), 1720 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=1.13 (3H, d, *J*=6.0 Hz), 1.19 (3H, d, *J*=5.0 Hz), 2.16–3.21 (4H, m), 7.42–7.81 (5H, m), 9.1 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 224 (M⁺–O).

2-Ethyl-3-methyl-4-phenylsulfinylbutanoic Acid (1f) (starting alkene (E)-5): Yield 87%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3500–2500 (CO₂H), 1740 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.91 (3H, t, *J*=7.5 Hz), 1.19, 1.24 (3H, d, *J*=6.6 Hz), 1.39–1.54 (1H, m), 1.63–1.81 (1H, m), 2.28–2.66 (3H, m), 3.01, 3.09 (1H, dd, *J*=3.9, 12.6 Hz), 7.24–7.68 (5H, m), 7.9 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 238 (M⁺–O).

2-Methyl-3-(phenylsulfinylmethyl)pentanoic Acid (1g) (starting alkene (E)-5): Yield 64%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3650–2300 (CO₂H), 1730 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.93 (3H, t, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.07 (3H, d, *J*=6.0 Hz), 1.38–1.80 (2H, m), 2.11–3.20 (4H, m), 7.44–7.78 (5H, m), 8.3 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 254 (M⁺).

2-Ethyl-3- (phenylsulfinylmethyl)pentanoic Acid (1h) (starting alkene (E)-5): Yield 82%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3650–2300 (CO₂H), 1730 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.93 (3H, t, *J*=9.9 Hz), 0.95 (3H, t, *J*=7.5 Hz), 1.39–1.73 (4H, m), 2.26–2.61 (2H, m), 2.65 (1H, dd, *J*=8.4, 13.5 Hz), 3.18 (1H, dd, *J*=5.7, 13.5 Hz), 7.49–7.55 (3H, m), 7.63–7.69 (2H, m), 9.8 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 252 (M⁺–O).

1e (starting alkene (Z)-5): Yield 80%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3500–2500 (CO₂H), 1720 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=1.07 (3H, d, *J*=6.0 Hz), 1.15 (3H, d, *J*=8.0 Hz), 2.12–3.26 (4H, m), 7.38–7.76 (5H, m), 9.4 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 224 (M⁺–O).

1f (starting alkene (Z)-5): Yield 61%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3500–2500 (CO₂H), 1735 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.92 (3H, t, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.07 (3H, d, *J*=7.0 Hz), 2.07–2.76 (4H, m), 2.92 (2H, qu), 7.44–7.95 (5H, m), 10.0 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 238 (M⁺–O).

1g (starting alkene (Z)-5): Yield 78%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3500–2500 (CO₂H), 1740 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.89 (3H, t, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.14 (3H, d, *J*=8.0 Hz), 1.40–1.68 (2H, m), 2.00–2.31 (1H, m), 2.73–3.07 (1H, m), 2.93 (2H, d, *J*=6.0 Hz), 7.44–7.67 (5H, m); MS *m/z* 254 (M⁺).

1h (starting alkene (Z)-5): Yield 87%, oil. IR (NaCl) 3650–2300 (CO₂H), 1735 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.87 (3H, t, *J*=6.0 Hz), 0.91 (3H, t, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.20–1.84 (4H, m), 1.96–2.20 (1H, m), 2.69 (1H, dd, *J*=5.0, 9.0 Hz), 2.71–2.87 (1H, m), 2.91 (1H, dd, *J*=3.0, 9.0 Hz), 7.42–7.76 (5H, m), 9.6 (1H, brs); MS *m/z* 268 (M⁺).

Preparation of 3-Methyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide (8a). A solution of **1a** (2.26 g, 10.0 mmol), acetic anhydride (2.04 g, 20.0 mmol), and a catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in 50 cm³ of dry toluene was refluxed for 2 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, then 50 cm³ of benzene was added into the mixture. The mixture was washed with saturated sodium hydrogencarbonate solution. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the residue which was purified with silica gel column chromatography. From the eluate with benzene, diastereomeric mixture of **8a** was obtained as an oil, 0.89 g (43%). IR (NaCl) 1780 cm⁻¹ (C=O), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=1.28, 1.29 (3H, d, *J*=6.6, 6.9 Hz), 1.71–2.82 (3H, m), 5.30, 5.81 (1H, d, *J*=5.9, 5.9 Hz), 7.04–7.87 (5H, m); MS *m/z* 208 (M⁺).

The diastereomeric mixture was chromatographed on silica gel again and pure *trans*-**8a** and *cis*-**8a** were obtained from the eluate with petroleum ether-ether (6:1). The ratio of resulting *trans*-**8a** and *cis*-**8a** was determined with high performance liquid chromatography by the use of purified *trans*-**8a** and *cis*-**8a** as the authentic samples. The ¹H NMR spectra of purified *trans*-**8a** and *cis*-**8a** are as follows:

***trans*-8a:** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=1.28 (3H, d, *J*=6.6 Hz), 2.23 (1H, dd, *J*=7.8, 17.1 Hz), 2.44–2.57 (1H, m), 2.70 (1H, dd, *J*=8.4, 17.1 Hz), 5.34 (1H, d, *J*=5.9 Hz), 7.27–7.57 (5H, m).

***cis*-8a:** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=1.29 (3H, d, *J*=6.9 Hz), 2.37 (1H, dd, *J*=8.7, 17.1 Hz), 2.68 (1H, dd, *J*=8.1, 17.1 Hz), 2.88–3.03 (1H, m), 5.85 (1H, d, *J*=5.9 Hz), 7.18–7.57 (5H, m).

Similarly, another butanolide derivatives were prepared from the corresponding butanoic acid derivatives. The yields, spectral and analytical data of butanolide are as follows:

Diastereomeric Mixture of 3-Ethyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide (8b): Yield 64%. oil. IR (NaCl) 1780 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.93 (3H, t, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.22–1.89 (3H, m), 2.00–2.71 (2H, m), 5.37, 5.81 (1H, d, *J*=5.4, 6.0 Hz), 7.24–7.40 (3H, m), 7.44–7.58 (2H, m). MS *m/z* 222 (M⁺).

The ¹H NMR spectra of isolated *trans*-**8b**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.93 (3H, t, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.13–1.41 (3H, m), 2.02–2.51 (1H, m), 2.04–2.66 (1H, m), 5.39 (1H, d, *J*=5.4 Hz), 7.24–7.40 (3H, m), 7.44–7.58 (2H, m).

Diastereomeric Mixture of 4-Phenylthio-3-isopropyl-4-butanolide (8c): Yield 63%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1780 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.94 (6H, t, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.80 (1H, sep), 2.00–2.74 (3H, m), 5.47, 5.76 (1H, d, *J*=6.0, 8.0 Hz), 7.17–7.78 (5H, m); MS *m/z* 236 (M⁺). Found: C, 66.28; H, 6.62%. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₂S: C, 66.10; H, 6.78%.

The ^1H NMR spectra of isolated *trans*-**8c**: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =0.94 (6H, d, J =7.0 Hz), 1.60–1.90 (1H, m), 2.00–2.50 (1H, m), 2.32 (2H, d, J =8.0 Hz), 5.47 (1H, d, J =6.0 Hz), 7.17–7.78 (5H, m).

***trans*-3-Phenyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide (8d)**: Yield 34%, oil. IR (NaCl) 1790 cm^{-1} (C=O); ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =2.66 (1H, dd, J =9.0, 15.0 Hz), 2.66 (1H, dd, J =8.0, 15.0 Hz), 3.46 (1H, dt J =6.0, 9.0 Hz), 5.47 (1H, d, J =7.0 Hz), 7.00–7.50 (10H, m); MS m/z 270 (M^+).

Diastereomeric Mixture of 2,3-Dimethyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide (8e): Yield 64% (starting alkene (*E*)-**5**), 58% (starting alkene (*Z*)-**5**). IR (NaCl) 1780 cm^{-1} (C=O); MS m/z 222 (M^+). Found: C, 64.53; H, 6.49%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_2\text{S}$: C, 64.83; H, 6.35%.

The ^1H NMR spectra of isolated (*2RS*, *3RS*, *4SR*)-**8e**, (*2RS*, *3SR*, *4RS*)-**8e**, and (*2RS*, *3SR*, *4SR*)-**8e** are as follows:

(*2RS*, *3RS*, *4SR*)-**8e**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =1.13 (3H, d, J =6.9 Hz), 1.18 (3H, d, J =7.5 Hz), 2.54–2.66 (1H, m), 2.83 (1H, qu, J =7.5 Hz), 5.39 (1H, d, J =4.2 Hz), 7.31–7.36 (3H, m), 7.52–7.56 (2H, m).

(*2RS*, *3SR*, *4RS*)-**8e**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =1.22 (3H, d, J =6.9 Hz), 1.28 (3H, d, J =6.6 Hz), 1.93–2.02 (1H, m), 2.16 (1H, oct), 5.21 (1H, d, J =9.6 Hz), 7.31–7.38 (3H, m), 7.51–7.56 (2H, m).

(*2RS*, *3SR*, *4SR*)-**8e**: Mp 71–74 °C (from petroleum ether). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =1.11 (3H, d, J =6.9 Hz), 1.24 (3H, d, J =7.2 Hz), 2.79 (1H, qu, J =7.2 Hz), 2.90 (1H, sex), 5.71 (1H, d, J =5.4 Hz), 7.29–7.36 (3H, m), 7.53–7.56 (2H, m).

Diastereomeric Mixture of 2-Ethyl-3-methyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide (8f): Yield 63% (starting alkene (*E*)-**5**), 62% (starting alkene (*Z*)-**5**). IR (NaCl) 1780 cm^{-1} (C=O); MS m/z 236 (M^+). Found: C, 66.44; H, 7.07%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_2\text{S}$: C, 66.07; H, 6.82%.

The ^1H NMR spectra of isolated (*2RS*, *3RS*, *4SR*)-**8f**, (*2RS*, *3SR*, *4RS*)-**8f**, (*2RS*, *3SR*, *4SR*)-**8f**, (*2RS*, *3RS*, *4RS*)-**8f** are as follows:

(*2RS*, *3RS*, *4SR*)-**8f**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =1.04 (3H, t, J =7.2 Hz), 1.11 (3H, d, J =6.6 Hz), 1.49–1.53 (1H, m), 1.67–1.87 (1H, m), 2.58–2.72 (2H, m), 5.39 (1H, d, J =2.7 Hz), 7.27–7.35 (3H, m), 7.51–7.55 (2H, m).

(*2RS*, *3SR*, *4RS*)-**8f**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =0.94 (3H, t, J =7.5 Hz), 1.27 (3H, d, J =6.6 Hz), 1.58–1.79 (2H, m), 2.05–2.16 (1H, m), 2.19 (1H, sep, J =5.7 Hz), 5.17 (1H, d, J =8.7 Hz), 7.27–7.36 (3H, m), 7.50–7.54 (2H, m).

(*2RS*, *3SR*, *4SR*)-**8f**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =1.03 (3H, t, J =7.2 Hz), 1.07 (3H, d, J =6.9 Hz), 1.43–1.57 (1H, m), 1.87 (1H, sep, J =7.5 Hz), 2.51–2.58 (1H, m), 2.84–2.96 (1H, m), 5.65 (1H, d, J =5.1 Hz), 7.27–7.36 (3H, m), 7.50–7.54 (2H, m).

(*2RS*, *3RS*, *4RS*)-**8f**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =1.03 (3H, t, J =7.5 Hz), 1.27 (3H, d, J =6.9 Hz), 1.67–1.87 (1H, m), 2.29–2.37 (1H, m), 2.58–2.72 (2H, m), 5.80 (1H, d, J =6.9 Hz), 7.27–7.35 (3H, m), 7.51–7.55 (2H, m).

Diastereomeric Mixture of 3-Ethyl-2-methyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide (8g): Yield 57% (starting alkene (*E*)-**5**), 51% (starting alkene (*Z*)-**5**). IR (NaCl) 1780 cm^{-1} (C=O); MS m/z 236 (M^+). Found: C, 65.83; H, 6.99%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_2\text{S}$: C, 66.03; H, 6.84%.

The ^1H NMR spectra of isolated (*2RS*, *3RS*, *4SR*)-**8g**, (*2RS*, *3SR*, *4RS*)-**8g**, (*2RS*, *3SR*, *4SR*)-**8g** are as follows:

(*2RS*, *3RS*, *4SR*)-**8g**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =0.96 (3H, t, J =7.0 Hz), 1.16 (3H, d, J =7.0 Hz), 1.36–1.69 (2H, m), 2.11–2.47 (1H, m), 2.80 (1H, sep), 5.47 (1H, d, J =4.5 Hz), 7.22–7.42 (3H, m), 7.42–7.67 (2H, m).

(*2RS*, *3SR*, *4RS*)-**8g**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =1.00 (3H, t, J =7.0 Hz), 1.19 (3H, d, J =7.0 Hz), 1.36–2.07 (3H, m), 2.29 (1H, sep), 5.27 (1H, d, J =8.1 Hz), 7.22–7.38 (3H, m), 7.38–7.49 (2H, m).

(*2RS*, *3SR*, *4SR*)-**8g**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =1.04 (3H, t, J =7.0 Hz), 1.31 (3H, d, J =7.0 Hz), 1.44–1.64 (3H, m), 2.71 (1H, sep), 5.83 (1H, d, J =8.1 Hz), 7.24–7.40 (3H, m), 7.47–7.60 (2H, m).

Diastereomeric Mixture of 2,3-Diethyl-4-phenylthio-4-butanolide (8h): Yield 60% (starting alkene (*E*)-**5**), 63% (starting alkene (*Z*)-**5**). IR (NaCl) 1780 cm^{-1} (C=O); MS m/z 250 (M^+). Found: C, 66.94; H, 7.48%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_2\text{S}$: C, 67.20; H, 7.20%.

The ^1H NMR spectra of isolated (*2RS*, *3RS*, *4SR*)-**8h**, (*2RS*, *3SR*, *4RS*)-**8h**, (*2RS*, *3SR*, *4SR*)-**8h** are as follows:

(*2RS*, *3RS*, *4SR*)-**8h**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =0.99 (3H, t, J =7.5 Hz), 1.05 (3H, t, J =7.5 Hz), 1.55 (2H, sex), 1.74 (2H, sex), 2.36–2.45 (1H, m), 2.66 (1H, q, J =7.5 Hz), 5.52 (1H, d, J =3.6 Hz), 7.32–7.37 (3H, m), 7.51–7.57 (2H, m).

(*2RS*, *3SR*, *4RS*)-**8h**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =0.95 (3H, t, J =7.5 Hz), 1.04 (3H, t, J =7.5 Hz), 1.63–1.75 (4H, m), 2.11 (1H, sep), 2.32 (1H, dt, J =6.8, 8.7 Hz), 5.33 (1H, d, J =7.5 Hz), 7.31–7.36 (3H, m), 7.52–7.56 (2H, m).

(*2RS*, *3SR*, *4SR*)-**8h**: Oil. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ =1.05 (3H, t, J =7.5 Hz), 1.14 (3H, t, J =7.5 Hz), 1.61–1.90 (4H, m), 2.04–2.40 (1H, m), 2.85 (1H, qu), 5.81 (1H, d, J =6.9 Hz), 7.30–7.37 (3H, m), 7.53–7.57 (2H, m).

The Reaction of Lithium Enolate of *trans*-8b** with Methyl Iodide.** To the solution of LDA, which was prepared from diisopropylamine (0.35 g, 3.5 mmol) and butyllithium (3.5 mmol), in 20 cm^3 of THF, a solution of **8b** (0.67 g, 3.0 mmol) in 5 cm^3 of THF was added at $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 1 h and then a solution of methyl iodide (0.43 g, 3.0 mmol) in 5 cm^3 of THF was added to the mixture. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 3 h, and then was quenched with 10% hydrochloric acid. The mixture was extracted with ether and the ethereal layer was dried over magnesium sulfate. After removal of the ether, the residue was chromatographed on silica gel to give a diastereomeric mixture of **8g** by elution with benzene, 0.52 g (73%). The ratio of (*2RS*, *3SR*, *4RS*)-**8g** and (*2RS*, *3RS*, *4SR*)-**8g** was determined with HPLC, 16:84.

Preparation of Ethyl 2,3-Dimethyl-4-phenylsulfonylbutanoate (11e). To a solution of **6a** (0.54 g, 2.0 mmol) in 50 cm^3 dichloromethane, *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA) (0.35 g, 2.0 mmol) was added at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and the mixture was stirred at this temperature of 1 h, and for 10 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was washed with sodium hydrogencarbonate solution and was dried over magnesium sulfate. After removal of the solvent, the residue was chromatographed on silica gel. From the eluate with benzene–ether (10:1), **11e** was obtained as an oil. Yield 93% (starting from (*E*)-**5**) and 87% (starting from (*Z*)-**5**). IR (NaCl) 1740 cm^{-1} (C=O), $1150, 1310\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (SO_2); MS m/z 239 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$).

Major Isomer (*2RS*, *3RS*)-11e**):** ^1H NMR (CDCl_3)

$\delta=1.08$ (3H, d, $J=7.5$ Hz), 1.13 (3H, d, $J=6.9$ Hz), 1.21 (3H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz), 2.35—2.46 (1H, m), 2.55 (1H, dq, $J=4.4$, 7.1 Hz), 2.97 (1H, dd, $J=8.6$, 14.3 Hz), 3.36 (1H, dd, $J=3.6$, 14.1 Hz), 4.00—4.11 (2H, m), 7.54—7.69 (3H, m), 7.90—7.94 (2H, m).

Minor Isomer (2RS, 3SR-11e): $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=1.07$ (3H, d, $J=6.9$ Hz), 1.09 (3H, d, $J=6.6$ Hz), 1.23 (3H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz), 2.35—2.46 (1H, m), 2.60 (1H, dq, $J=4.4$, 6.6 Hz), 2.99 (1H, dd, $J=8.3$, 14.3 Hz), 3.21 (1H, dd, $J=4.2$, 14.4 Hz), 4.00—4.11 (2H, m), 7.54—7.69 (3H, m), 7.90—7.94 (2H, m).

Similarly, ethyl 2-methyl-3-(phenylsulfonylmethyl)pentanoate (**11g**) and ethyl 2-ethyl-3-(phenylsulfonylmethyl)pentanoate (**11h**) were prepared by the similar procedure to **11e**. Yields, spectral and analytical data of **11g** and **11h** are as follows:

11g: Yield 87% (starting from (*E*)-**5**) and 86% (starting from (*Z*)-**5**). IR (NaCl) 1730 (C=O), 1145, 1310 cm^{-1} (SO_2); MS m/z : 253 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$).

Major Isomer (2RS, 3RS-11g): $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.85$ (3H, t, $J=7.4$ Hz), 1.02 (3H, d, $J=6.9$ Hz), 1.19 (3H, t, $J=7.1$ Hz), 1.40—1.66 (2H, m), 2.13—2.33 (1H, m), 2.77 (1H, dq, $J=3.6$, 6.9 Hz), 2.95 (1H, dd, $J=6.9$, 14.4 Hz), 3.36 (1H, dd, $J=4.4$, 14.3 Hz), 3.95—4.13 (2H, m), 7.53—7.68 (3H, m), 7.88—7.93 (2H, m).

Minor Isomer (2RS, 3SR-11g): $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.82$ (3H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz), 1.05 (3H, d, $J=7.2$ Hz), 1.22 (3H, t, $J=8.1$ Hz), 1.40—1.66 (2H, m), 2.13—2.33 (1H, m), 2.82 (1H, dq, $J=4.5$, 7.1 Hz), 3.02 (1H, dd, $J=6.5$, 14.3 Hz), 3.22 (1H, dd, $J=5.1$, 14.7 Hz), 3.95—4.13 (2H, m), 7.53—7.68 (3H, m), 7.88—7.93 (2H, m).

11h: Yield 95% (starting from (*E*)-**5**) and 87% (starting from (*Z*)-**5**). IR (NaCl) 1740 (C=O), 1150, 1310 cm^{-1} (SO_2); MS m/z 267 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{EtO}$).

Major Isomer (2RS, 3RS-11h): $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.85$ (3H, t, $J=7.4$ Hz), 0.86 (3H, t, $J=7.4$ Hz), 1.21 (3H, t, $J=7.1$ Hz), 1.33—1.44 (2H, m), 1.46—1.62 (2H, m), 2.11—2.17 (1H, m), 2.52 (1H, dt, $J=4.4$, 10.2 Hz), 2.96 (1H, dd, $J=6.6$, 14.7 Hz), 3.44 (1H, dd, $J=5.1$, 14.4 Hz), 3.99—4.10 (2H, m), 7.54—7.65 (3H, m), 7.89—7.92 (2H, m).

Minor Isomer (2RS, 3SR-11h): $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) $\delta=0.88$ (3H, t, $J=7.4$ Hz), 0.89 (3H, t, $J=7.4$ Hz), 1.22 (3H, t, $J=6.9$ Hz), 1.33—1.44 (2H, m), 1.46—1.62 (2H, m), 2.22—2.35 (1H, m), 2.99 (1H, dd, $J=8.3$, 14.9 Hz), 3.35 (1H, dd, $J=2.4$, 15.0 Hz), 2.53—2.57 (1H, m), 3.99—4.10 (2H, m), 7.54—7.65 (3H, m), 7.89—7.92 (2H, m).

References

- 1) a) P. Barbier and C. Benezra, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **23**, 3511 (1982); b) S. Fukuzawa, A. Nakanishi, and S. Sakai, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, **1988**, 1669; c) H. Hagiwara, K. Kimura, and H. Uda, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1986**, 860.
- 2) a) J. P. Marino, E. Laborde, and R. S. Paley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **110**, 966 (1988); b) J. P. Marino, R. Fernandez de la Pradilla, and E. Laborde, *Synthesis*, **1987**, 1088.
- 3) M. Watanabe, S. Nakamori, H. Hasegawa, K. Shirai, and T. Kumamoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **54**, 817 (1981).
- 4) P. Brownbridge, E. Egert, P. G. Hunt, O. Kennard, and S. Warren, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, **1981**, 2751.